Canada turns back on UN plan to ban nuclear arms

At the very moment Canadian leadership was once more needed, the country took a dive.

Edmonton—In an amazing diplomatic volte-face, the Canadian government last week in Geneva voted against starting, in 2017, negotiations to ban nuclear weapons. The government turned its back on an important nuclear disarmament initiative and sided with the nuclear weapons states that want to keep and modernize their nuclear arsenals for the rest of the 21st century.

This is an astounding Canadian action and has given the back of the government’s hand to civil society groups across Canada and 900 members of the Order of Canada who have urged the government to join in nuclear negotiations as called for by United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki-moon. The Mexican government has shown any inclination to challenge NATO’s outdated Strategic Concept, which holds that nuclear weapons are the “supreme guarantee” of security. The Foreign Affairs officials just followed along, and so Canada joined with NATO states in opposing the new Geneva report, the very essence of which expressed “deep concern over the threat to humanity posed by the existence of nuclear weapons and the catastrophic humanitarian consequences of any detonation.” At the very moment Canadian leadership was once more needed, Canada took a dive.

It’s hard to overstate the danger to the world posed by the 15,350 nuclear weapons in existence, many of them on hair-trigger alert.

Piecemeal nuclear disarmament measures have all failed to halt the modernization programs now being carried out by the nuclear powers, which are spending enormous amounts of money to keep their nuclear arsenals. So frustrated are many nations with the big powers’ continued violations of the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), which calls for “good faith” negotiations, that they started a process to highlight the threat to humanity. This led to a UN resolution setting up a working group in Geneva. The five major nuclear weapons states—the U.S., Russia, the United Kingdom, France, and China—boyocotted the process. And Canada joined a group of Western states calling themselves “progressive,” but who actually undermined comprehensive efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons by holding out for piecemeal measures that have never stopped the nuclear arms race.

Mr. Dion openly admits that Canada won’t support new efforts because of “obligations” to NATO. Well, what about our obligations to the United Nations and to the Non-Proliferation Treaty, to safeguard humanity from a nuclear catastrophe?

What is perplexing about the Canadian vote is that Canada gave up much of what it wants. The report supports a variety of approaches to achieve a legal prohibition of nuclear weapons, many of which, such as the Comprehensive Test Ban and a ban on the production of fissile materials, are in the Canadian catalogue of demands. It says explicitly that “there is more than one way in which nuclear disarmament can be achieved.” But because it also includes negotiations, Canada opposes it.

Canada could have abstained, as Norway and the Netherlands, two other NATO countries, did. But Canada didn’t even use this diplomatic device to at least keep the door to negotiations open. No, Canada slammed it shut. That is an insult to all those Canadians who do see the humanitarian value of a nuclear weapons-free world.

The Harper government opposes it. Canada could have abstained, because of “obligations” to NATO. Canada won’t support new efforts to eliminate nuclear weapons, and to support NATO’s fixation on the value of nuclear weapons.

Now what is the government going to do? There is bound to be a vote in the UN General Assembly in mid-October on a resolution establishing a negotiating process. Will Canada succumb to the nuclear hegemony of the big powers or will it stand up for Canadian values and support a UN-sponsored negotiating process? When Justin Trudeau is finally seized of the issue and sees it in the light of his aspirations for a Canadian seat on the Security Council, we may get the answer Canada deserves.
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